

Rings of Frobenius operators

BY MORDECHAI KATZMAN[†]

*Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Sheffield, Hicks Building,
Sheffield, S3 7RH.*

e-mail: M.Katzman@sheffield.ac.uk

KARL SCHWEDE[‡]

*Department of Mathematics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park,
PA 16802, U.S.A.*

e-mail: schwede@math.psu.edu

ANURAG K. SINGH[§]

*Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, 155 S 1400 E, Salt Lake City,
UT 84112, U.S.A.*

e-mail: singh@math.utah.edu

AND WENLIANG ZHANG^{||}

Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68505, U.S.A.

e-mail: wzhang15@unl.edu

(Received 25 April 2013; revised 6 January 2014)

Abstract

Let R be a local ring of prime characteristic. We study the ring of Frobenius operators $\mathcal{F}(E)$, where E is the injective hull of the residue field of R . In particular, we examine the finite generation of $\mathcal{F}(E)$ over its degree zero component $\mathcal{F}^0(E)$, and show that $\mathcal{F}(E)$ need not be finitely generated when R is a determinantal ring; nonetheless, we obtain concrete descriptions of $\mathcal{F}(E)$ in good generality that we use, for example, to prove the discreteness of F -jumping numbers for arbitrary ideals in determinantal rings.



1. Introduction

Lyubeznik and Smith [LS] initiated the systematic study of rings of Frobenius operators and their applications to tight closure theory. Our focus here is on the Frobenius operators on the injective hull of R/\mathfrak{m} , when (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a complete local ring of prime characteristic.

[†] Supported by EPSRC grant EP/I031405/1.

[‡] Supported by NSF grant DMS 1064485 and a Sloan Fellowship.

[§] Supported by NSF grant DMS 1162585.

^{||} Supported by NSF grant DMS 1247354.

Definition 1.1. Let R be a ring of prime characteristic p , with Frobenius endomorphism F . Following [LS, section 3], we set $R\{F^e\}$ to be the ring extension of R obtained by adjoining a noncommutative variable χ subject to the relations $\chi r = r^{p^e} \chi$ for all $r \in R$.

Let M be an R -module. Extending the R -module structure on M to an $R\{F^e\}$ -module structure is equivalent to specifying an additive map $\varphi: M \rightarrow M$ that satisfies

$$\varphi(rm) = r^{p^e} \varphi(m), \quad \text{for each } r \in R \text{ and } m \in M.$$

Define $\mathcal{F}^e(M)$ to be the set of all such maps φ arising from $R\{F^e\}$ -module structures on M ; this is an Abelian group with a left R -module structure, where $r \in R$ acts on $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}^e(M)$ to give the composition $r \circ \varphi$. Given elements $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}^e(M)$ and $\varphi' \in \mathcal{F}^{e'}(M)$, the compositions $\varphi \circ \varphi'$ and $\varphi' \circ \varphi$ are elements of the module $\mathcal{F}^{e+e'}(M)$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{F}(M) = \mathcal{F}^0(M) \oplus \mathcal{F}^1(M) \oplus \mathcal{F}^2(M) \oplus \dots$$

has a ring structure; this is the *ring of Frobenius operators* on M .

Note that $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is an \mathbb{N} -graded ring; it is typically not commutative. The degree 0 component $\mathcal{F}^0(M) = \text{End}_R(M)$ is a subring, with a natural R -algebra structure. Lyubeznik and Smith [LS, section 3] ask whether $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is a finitely generated ring extension of $\mathcal{F}^0(M)$. From the point of view of tight closure theory, the main cases of interest are where (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a complete local ring, and the module M is the local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim R}(R)$ or the injective hull of the residue field, $E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$, abbreviated E in the following discussion. In the former case, the algebra $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is finitely generated under mild hypotheses, see Example 1.2.2; an investigation of the latter case is our main focus here.

It follows from Example 1.2.2 that for a Gorenstein complete local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) , the ring $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is a finitely generated extension of $\mathcal{F}^0(E) \cong R$. This need not be true when R is not Gorenstein: Katzman [Ka] constructed the first such examples. In Section 3 we study the finite generation of $\mathcal{F}(E)$, and provide descriptions of $\mathcal{F}(E)$ even when it is not finitely generated: this is in terms of a graded subgroup of the anticanonical cover of R , with a Frobenius-twisted multiplication structure, see Theorem 3.3.

Section 4 studies the case of \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein rings. We show that $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is finitely generated (though not necessarily principally generated) if R is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein with index relatively prime to the characteristic, Proposition 4.1; the dual statement for the Cartier algebra was previously obtained by Schwede in [Sc, remark 4.5]. We also construct a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein ring for which the ring $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is *not* finitely generated over $\mathcal{F}^0(E)$; in fact, we conjecture that this is always the case for a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein ring whose index is a multiple of the characteristic, see Conjecture 4.2.

In Section 5 we show that $\mathcal{F}(E)$ need not be finitely generated for determinantal rings, specifically for the ring $\mathbb{F}[X]/I$, where X is a 2×3 matrix of variables, and I is the ideal generated by its size 2 minors; this proves a conjecture of Katzman, [Ka, conjecture 3.1]. The relevant calculations also extend a result of Fedder, [Fe, proposition 4.7].

One of the applications of our study of $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is the discreteness of F -jumping numbers; in Section 6 we use the description of $\mathcal{F}(E)$, combined with the notion of gauge boundedness, due to Blickle [Bl2], to obtain positive results on the discreteness of F -jumping numbers for new classes of rings including determinantal rings, see Theorem 6.4. In the last section, we obtain results on the linear growth of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity for rings with finite Frobenius representation type; this is also with an eye towards the discreteness of F -jumping numbers.

To set the stage, we summarize some previous results on the rings $\mathcal{F}(M)$.

Example 1.2. Let R be a ring of prime characteristic.

- (1) For each $e \geq 0$, the left R -module $\mathcal{F}^e(R)$ is free of rank one, spanned by F^e ; this is [LS, example 3.6]. Hence, $\mathcal{F}(R) \cong R\{F\}$.
- (2) Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring of dimension d . The Frobenius endomorphism F of R induces, by functoriality, an additive map

$$F: H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R),$$

which is the natural *Frobenius action* on $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)$. If the ring R is complete and S_2 , then $\mathcal{F}^e(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R))$ is a free left R -module of rank one, spanned by F^e ; for a proof of this, see [LS, example 3.7]. It follows that

$$\mathcal{F}(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)) \cong R\{F\}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R))$ is a finitely generated ring extension of $\mathcal{F}^0(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R))$.

- (3) Consider the local ring $R = \mathbb{F}[[x, y, z]]/(xy, yz)$ where \mathbb{F} is a field, and set E to be the injective hull of the residue field of R . Katzman [Ka] proved that $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is not a finitely generated ring extension of $\mathcal{F}^0(E)$.
- (4) Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be the completion of a Stanley–Reisner ring at its homogeneous maximal ideal, and let E be the injective hull of R/\mathfrak{m} . In [ABZ] Álvarez, Boix and Zarzuela obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the finite generation of $\mathcal{F}(E)$. Their work yields, in particular, Cohen–Macaulay examples where $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is not finitely generated over $\mathcal{F}^0(E)$. By [ABZ, theorem 3.5], $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is either 1-generated or infinitely generated as a ring extension of $\mathcal{F}^0(E)$ in the Stanley–Reisner case.

Remark 1.3. Let $R^{(e)}$ denote the R -bimodule that agrees with R as a left R -module, and where the right module structure is given by

$$x \cdot r = r^{p^e} x, \quad \text{for all } r \in R \text{ and } x \in R^{(e)}.$$

For each R -module M , one then has a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}^e(M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R^{(e)} \otimes_R M, M)$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}^e(M)$ corresponds to $x \otimes m \mapsto x\varphi(m)$ and $\psi \in \text{Hom}_R(R^{(e)} \otimes_R M, M)$ corresponds to $m \mapsto \psi(1 \otimes m)$; see [LS, remark 3.2].

Remark 1.4. Let R be a Noetherian ring of prime characteristic. If M is a Noetherian R -module, or if R is complete local and M is an Artinian R -module, then each graded component $\mathcal{F}^e(M)$ of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ is a finitely generated left R -module, and hence also a finitely generated left $\mathcal{F}^0(M)$ -module; this is [LS, proposition 3.3].

Remark 1.5. Let R be a complete local ring of prime characteristic p ; set E to be the injective hull of the residue field of R . Let A be a complete regular local ring with $R = A/I$. By [BI1, proposition 3.36], one then has an isomorphism of R -modules

$$\mathcal{F}^e(E) \cong \frac{I^{[p^e]} \cdot_A I}{I^{[p^e]}}.$$

2. Twisted multiplication

Let R be a complete local ring of prime characteristic; let E denote the injective hull of the residue field of R . In Theorem 3.3 we prove that $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the

anticanonical cover of R , with a twisted multiplication structure; in this section, we describe this twisted construction in broad generality:

Definition 2.1. Given an \mathbb{N} -graded commutative ring \mathcal{R} of prime characteristic p , we define a new ring $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ as follows: Consider the Abelian group

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R}) = \bigoplus_{e \geq 0} \mathcal{R}_{p^e - 1}$$

and define a multiplication $*$ on $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ by

$$a * b = ab^{p^e}, \quad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})_e \text{ and } b \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})_{e'}.$$

It is a straightforward verification that $*$ is an associative binary operation; the prime characteristic assumption is used in verifying that $+$ and $*$ are distributive. Moreover, for elements $a \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})_e$ and $b \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})_{e'}$ one has

$$ab^{p^e} \in \mathcal{R}_{p^e - 1 + p^e(p^{e'} - 1)} = \mathcal{R}_{p^{e+e'} - 1}$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})_e * \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})_{e'} \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})_{e+e'}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ is an \mathbb{N} -graded ring; we abbreviate its degree e component $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})_e$ as \mathcal{T}_e . The ring $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ is typically not commutative, and need not be a finitely generated extension ring of \mathcal{T}_0 even when \mathcal{R} is Noetherian:

Example 2.2. We examine $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ when \mathcal{R} is a standard graded polynomial ring over a field \mathbb{F} . We show that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ is a finitely generated ring extension of $\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathbb{F}$ if $\dim \mathcal{R} \leq 2$, and that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ is not finitely generated if $\dim \mathcal{R} \geq 3$.

- (1) If \mathcal{R} is a polynomial ring of dimension 1, then $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ is commutative and finitely generated over \mathbb{F} : take $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}[x]$, in which case $\mathcal{T}_e = \mathbb{F} \cdot x^{p^e - 1}$ and

$$x^{p^e - 1} * x^{p^{e'} - 1} = x^{p^{e+e'} - 1} = x^{p^{e'} - 1} * x^{p^e - 1}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ is a polynomial ring in one variable.

- (2) When \mathcal{R} is a polynomial ring of dimension 2, we verify that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ is a noncommutative finitely generated ring extension of \mathbb{F} . Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}[x, y]$. Then

$$x^{p-1} * y^{p-1} = x^{p-1} y^{p^2-p} \quad \text{whereas} \quad y^{p-1} * x^{p-1} = x^{p^2-p} y^{p-1},$$

so $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ is not commutative. For finite generation, it suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{T}_{e+1} = \mathcal{T}_1 * \mathcal{T}_e, \quad \text{for each } e \geq 1.$$

Set $q = p^e$ and consider the elements

$$x^i y^{p-1-i} \in \mathcal{T}_1, \quad 0 \leq i \leq p-1 \quad \text{and} \quad x^j y^{q-1-j} \in \mathcal{T}_e, \quad 0 \leq j \leq q-1.$$

Then $\mathcal{T}_1 * \mathcal{T}_e$ contains the elements

$$(x^i y^{p-1-i}) * (x^j y^{q-1-j}) = x^{i+pj} y^{pq-pj-i-1},$$

for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq q-1$, and these are readily seen to span \mathcal{T}_{e+1} . Hence, the degree $p-1$ monomials in x and y generate $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ as a ring extension of \mathbb{F} .

- (3) For a polynomial ring \mathcal{R} of dimension 3 or higher, the ring $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ is noncommutative and not finitely generated over \mathbb{F} . The noncommutativity is immediate from (2); we give an argument that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ is not finitely generated for $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}[x, y, z]$, and this carries over to polynomial rings \mathcal{R} of higher dimension.

Set $q = p^e$ where $e \geq 2$. We claim that the element

$$xy^{q/p-1}z^{q-q/p-1} \in \mathcal{T}_e$$

does not belong to $\mathcal{T}_{e_1} * \mathcal{T}_{e_2}$ for integers $e_i < e$ with $e_1 + e_2 = e$. Indeed, $\mathcal{T}_{e_1} * \mathcal{T}_{e_2}$ is spanned by the monomials

$$(x^i y^j z^{q_1-i-j-1}) * (x^k y^l z^{q_2-k-l-1}) = x^{i+q_1k} y^{j+q_1l} z^{q-i-j-q_1k-q_1l-1}$$

where $q_i = p^{e_i}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq i \leq q_1 - 1, & \quad 0 \leq j \leq q_1 - 1 - i, \\ 0 \leq k \leq q_2 - 1, & \quad 0 \leq l \leq q_2 - 1 - k, \end{aligned}$$

so it suffices to verify that the equations

$$i + q_1k = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad j + q_1l = q/p - 1$$

have no solution for integers i, j, k, l in the intervals displayed above. The first of the equations gives $i = 1$, which then implies that $0 \leq j \leq q_1 - 2$. Since q_1 divides q/p , the second equation gives $j \equiv -1 \pmod{q_1}$. But this has no solution with $0 \leq j \leq q_1 - 2$.

3. The ring structure of $\mathcal{F}(E)$

We describe the ring of Frobenius operators $\mathcal{F}(E)$ in terms of the symbolic Rees algebra \mathcal{R} and the twisted multiplication structure $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ of the previous section. First, a notational point: $\omega^{[p^e]}$ below denotes the iterated Frobenius power of an ideal ω , and $\omega^{(n)}$ its symbolic power, which coincides with reflexive power for divisorial ideals ω . We realize that the notation $\omega^{[n]}$ is sometimes used for the reflexive power, hence this note of caution. We start with the following observation:

LEMMA 3.1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a normal local ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Let ω be a divisorial ideal of R , i.e., an ideal of pure height one. Then for each integer $e \geq 1$, the map*

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim R}(\omega^{[p^e]}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim R}(\omega^{(p^e)})$$

induced by the inclusion $\omega^{[p^e]} \subseteq \omega^{(p^e)}$, is an isomorphism.

Proof. Set $d = \dim R$. Since R is normal and ω has pure height one, $\omega R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is principal for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of height one; hence $(\omega^{(p^e)}/\omega^{[p^e]})R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. It follows that

$$\dim(\omega^{(p^e)}/\omega^{[p^e]}) \leq d - 2,$$

which gives the vanishing of the outer terms of the exact sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-1}(\omega^{(p^e)}/\omega^{[p^e]}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega^{[p^e]}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega^{(p^e)}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega^{(p^e)}/\omega^{[p^e]}),$$

and thus the desired isomorphism.

Definition 3.2. Let R be a normal ring that is either complete local, or \mathbb{N} -graded and finitely generated over R_0 . Let ω denote the canonical module of R . The symbolic Rees algebra

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \omega^{(-n)}$$

is the *anticanonical cover* of R ; it has a natural \mathbb{N} -grading where $\mathcal{R}_n = \omega^{(-n)}$.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a normal complete local ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Set d to be the dimension of R . Let ω denote the canonical module of R , and identify E , the injective hull of the R/\mathfrak{m} , with $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega)$.*

(1) *Then $\mathcal{F}(E)$, the ring of Frobenius operators on E , may be identified with*

$$\bigoplus_{e \geq 0} \omega^{(1-p^e)} F^e,$$

where F^e denotes the map $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega^{(p^e)})$ induced by $\omega \rightarrow \omega^{[p^e]}$.

(2) *Let \mathcal{R} be the anticanonical cover of R . Then one has an isomorphism of graded rings*

$$\mathcal{F}(E) \cong \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R}),$$

where $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ is as in Definition 2.1.

Proof. By Remark 1.3, we have

$$\mathcal{F}^e(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R^{(e)} \otimes_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega), H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega)).$$

Moreover,

$$R^{(e)} \otimes_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega^{[p^e]}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega^{(p^e)}),$$

where the first isomorphism is by [ILL⁺, exercise 9.7], and the second by Lemma 3.1. By similar arguments

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega^{(p^e)}), H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega)) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega \otimes_R \omega^{(p^e-1)}), H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\omega^{(p^e-1)} \otimes_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega), H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\omega^{(p^e-1)}, \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega), H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega))), \end{aligned}$$

with the last isomorphism using the adjointness of Hom and tensor. Since R is complete, the module above is isomorphic to

$$\text{Hom}_R(\omega^{(p^e-1)}, R) \cong \omega^{(1-p^e)}.$$

Suppose $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}^e(M)$ and $\varphi' \in \mathcal{F}^{e'}(M)$ correspond respectively to aF^e and $a'F^{e'}$, for elements $a \in \omega^{(1-p^e)}$ and $a' \in \omega^{(1-p^{e'})}$. Then $\varphi \circ \varphi'$ corresponds to $aF^e \circ a'F^{e'} = ab^{p^e} F^{e+e'}$, which agrees with the ring structure of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ since $a * b = ab^{p^e}$.

Remark 3.4. Let R be a normal complete local ring of prime characteristic p ; let A be a complete regular local ring with $R = A/I$. Using Remark 1.5 and Theorem 3.3, it is now a straightforward verification that $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is isomorphic, as a graded ring, to

$$\bigoplus_{e \geq 0} \frac{I^{[p^e]} :_A I}{I^{[p^e]}},$$

where the multiplication on this latter ring is the twisted multiplication $*$. An example of the isomorphism is worked out in Proposition 5.1.

4. \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein rings

We analyze the finite generation of $\mathcal{F}(E)$ when R is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein. The following result follows from the corresponding statement for Cartier algebras, [Sc, remark 4.5], but we include it here for the sake of completeness:

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a normal \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein local ring of prime characteristic. Let ω denote the canonical module of R . If the order of ω is relatively prime to the characteristic of R , then $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is a finitely generated ring extension of $\mathcal{F}^0(E)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}^0(E)$ is isomorphic to the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion of R , the proposition reduces to the case where the ring R is assumed to be complete.

Let m be the order of ω , and p the characteristic of R . Then $p \bmod m$ is an element of the group $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$, and hence there exists an integer e_0 with $p^{e_0} \equiv 1 \pmod m$. We claim that $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is generated over $\mathcal{F}^0(E)$ by $[\mathcal{F}(E)]_{\leq e_0}$.

We use the identification $\mathcal{F}(E) = \mathcal{T}(R)$ from Theorem 3.3. Since $\omega^{(m)}$ is a cyclic module, one has

$$\omega^{(n+km)} = \omega^{(n)}\omega^{(km)}, \quad \text{for all integers } k, n.$$

Thus, for each $e > e_0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{e-e_0} * \mathcal{T}_{e_0} &= \omega^{(1-p^{e-e_0})} * \omega^{(1-p^{e_0})} \\ &= \omega^{(1-p^{e-e_0})} \cdot (\omega^{(1-p^{e_0})})^{[p^{e-e_0}]} \\ &= \omega^{(1-p^{e-e_0})} \cdot \omega^{(p^{e-e_0}(1-p^{e_0}))} \\ &= \omega^{(1-p^{e-e_0}+p^{e-e_0}-p^e)} \\ &= \omega^{(1-p^e)} \\ &= \mathcal{T}_e, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim.

We conjecture that Proposition 4.1 has a converse in the following sense:

Conjecture 4.2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a normal \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein ring of prime characteristic, such that the order of the canonical module in the divisor class group is a multiple of the characteristic of R . Then $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is not a finitely generated ring extension of $\mathcal{F}^0(E)$.

Veronese subrings. Let \mathbb{F} be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and $A = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ a polynomial ring. Given a positive integer n , we denote the n -th Veronese subring of A by

$$A_{(n)} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} A_{nk};$$

this differs from the standard notation, e.g., [GW], since we reserve superscripts $()^{(n)}$ for symbolic powers. The cyclic module $x_1 \cdots x_d A$ is the graded canonical module for the polynomial ring A . By [GW, corollary 3.1.3], the Veronese submodule

$$(x_1 \cdots x_d A)_{(n)} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} [x_1 \cdots x_d A]_{nk}$$

is the graded canonical module for the subring $A_{(n)}$. Let \mathfrak{m} denote the homogeneous maximal ideal of $A_{(n)}$. The injective hull of $A_{(n)}/\mathfrak{m}$ in the category of graded $A_{(n)}$ -modules is

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d((x_1 \cdots x_d A)_{(n)}) &= [H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(x_1 \cdots x_d A)]_{(n)} \\ &= \left[\frac{A_{x_1 \cdots x_d}}{\sum_i x_i \cdots x_d A_{x_1 \cdots \widehat{x}_i \cdots x_d}} \right]_{(n)}, \end{aligned}$$

see [GW, theorem 3.1.1]. By [GW, theorem 1.2.5], this is also the injective hull in the category of all $A_{(n)}$ -modules.

Let R be the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion of $A_{(n)}$. As it is \mathfrak{m} -torsion, the module displayed above is also an R -module; it is the injective hull of $R/\mathfrak{m}R$ in the category of R -modules.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let \mathbb{F} be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and let $A = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ be a polynomial ring of dimension d . Let n be a positive integer, and R be the completion of the n -th Veronese subring of A at its homogeneous maximal ideal. Set $E = M/N$ where*

$$M = R_{x_1^n \cdots x_d^n}$$

and N is the R -submodule spanned by elements $x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_d^{i_d} \in M$ with $i_k \geq 1$ for some k ; the module E is the injective hull of the residue field of R .

Then $\mathcal{F}^e(E)$ is the left R -module generated by the elements

$$\frac{1}{x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_d^{\alpha_d}} F^e,$$

where F is the p th power map, $\alpha_k \leq p^e - 1$ for each k , and $\sum \alpha_k \equiv 0 \pmod n$.

Remark 4.4. We use F for the Frobenius endomorphism of the ring M . The condition $\sum \alpha_k \equiv 0 \pmod n$, or equivalently $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_d^{\alpha_d} \in M$, implies that

$$\frac{1}{x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_d^{\alpha_d}} F^e \in \mathcal{F}^e(M).$$

When $\alpha_k \leq p^e - 1$ for each k , the map displayed above stabilizes N and thus induces an element of $\mathcal{F}^e(M/N)$; we reuse F for the p th power map on M/N .

Proof of Proposition 4.3 In view of the above remark, it remains to establish that the given elements are indeed generators for $\mathcal{F}^e(E)$. The canonical module of R is

$$\omega_R = (x_1 \cdots x_d A)_{(n)} R$$

and, indeed, $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(\omega_R) = E$. Thus, Theorem 3.3 implies that

$$\mathcal{F}^e(E) = \omega_R^{(1-q)} F^e,$$

where $q = p^e$. But $\omega_R^{(1-q)}$ is the completion of the $A_{(n)}$ -module

$$\left[\frac{1}{x_1^{q-1} \cdots x_d^{q-1}} A \right]_{(n)} = \left(\frac{1}{x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_d^{\alpha_d}} \mid \alpha_k \leq q - 1 \text{ for each } k, \sum \alpha_k \equiv 0 \pmod n \right) A_{(n)},$$

which completes the proof.

Example 4.5. Consider $d = 2$ and $n = 3$ in Proposition 4.3, i.e.,

$$R = \mathbb{F}[[x^3, x^2y, xy^2, y^3]].$$

Then $\omega = (x^2y, xy^2)R$ has order 3 in the divisor class group of R ; indeed,

$$\omega^{(2)} = (x^4y^2, x^3y^3, x^2y^4)R \quad \text{and} \quad \omega^{(3)} = (x^3y^3)R.$$

(1) If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $\omega^{(1-q)} = (xy)^{1-q}R$ is cyclic for each $q = p^e$ and

$$\mathcal{F}^e(E) = \frac{1}{(xy)^{q-1}}F^e.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{(xy)^{p-1}}F \circ \frac{1}{(xy)^{q-1}}F^e = \frac{1}{(xy)^{pq-1}}F^{e+1},$$

it follows that

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = R \left\{ \frac{1}{(xy)^{p-1}}F \right\}.$$

(2) If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $q = p^e$, then $\omega^{(1-q)} = (xy)^{1-q}R$ for e even and

$$\omega^{(1-q)} = \left(\frac{1}{x^{q-3}y^{q-1}}, \frac{1}{x^{q-2}y^{q-2}}, \frac{1}{x^{q-1}y^{q-3}} \right) R$$

for e odd. The proof of Proposition 4.1 shows that $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is generated by its elements of degree ≤ 2 and hence

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = R \left\{ \frac{1}{x^{p-3}y^{p-1}}F, \frac{1}{x^{p-2}y^{p-2}}F, \frac{1}{x^{p-1}y^{p-3}}F, \frac{1}{x^{p^2-1}y^{p^2-1}}F^2 \right\}.$$

In the case $p = 2$, the above reads

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = R \left\{ \frac{x}{y}F, F, \frac{y}{x}F, \frac{1}{x^3y^3}F^2 \right\}.$$

(3) When $p = 3$, one has

$$\omega^{(1-q)} = \frac{1}{x^qy^q}(x^2y, xy^2)R = \left(\frac{1}{x^{q-2}y^{q-1}}, \frac{1}{x^{q-1}y^{q-2}} \right) R$$

for each $q = p^e$. In this case,

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = R \left\{ \frac{1}{xy^2}F, \frac{1}{x^2y}F, \frac{1}{x^7y^8}F^2, \frac{1}{x^8y^7}F^2, \frac{1}{x^{25}y^{26}}F^3, \frac{1}{x^{26}y^{25}}F^3, \dots \right\},$$

and $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is not a finitely generated extension ring of $\mathcal{F}^0(E) = R$; indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{(1-q)} \ast \omega^{(1-q')} &= \frac{1}{x^qy^q}(x^2y, xy^2)R \ast \frac{1}{x^{q'}y^{q'}}(x^2y, xy^2)R \\ &= \frac{1}{x^{qq'+q}y^{qq'+q}}(x^2y, xy^2) \cdot (x^{2q}y^q, x^qy^{2q})R \\ &= \frac{1}{x^{qq'}y^{qq'}}(x^{q+2}y, x^{q+1}y^2, x^2y^{q+1}, xy^{q+2})R \\ &= \frac{1}{x^{qq'}y^{qq'}}(x^2y, xy^2) \cdot (x^q, y^q)R \\ &= (x^q, y^q) \omega^{(1-qq')} \end{aligned}$$

for $q = p^e$ and $q' = p^{e'}$, where e and e' are positive integers.

5. A determinantal ring

Let R be the determinantal ring $\mathbb{F}[X]/I$, where X is a 2×3 matrix of variables over a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and I is the ideal generated by the size 2 minors of X . Set \mathfrak{m} to be the homogeneous maximal ideal of R . We show that the algebra of Frobenius operators $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is not finitely generated over $\mathcal{F}^0(E) = \widehat{R}$; this proves [Ka, conjecture 3·1]. We also extend Fedder's calculation of the ideals $I^{[p]} : I$ to the ideals $I^{[q]} : I$ for all $q = p^e$.

The ring R is isomorphic to the affine semigroup ring

$$\mathbb{F} \begin{bmatrix} sx, sy, sz, \\ tx, ty, tz \end{bmatrix} \subseteq \mathbb{F}[s, t, x, y, z].$$

Using this identification, R is the Segre product $A \# B$ of the polynomial rings $A = \mathbb{F}[s, t]$ and $B = \mathbb{F}[x, y, z]$. By [GW, theorem 4·3·1], the canonical module of R is the Segre product of the graded canonical modules stA and $xyzB$ of the respective polynomial rings, i.e.,

$$\omega_R = stA \# xyzB = (s^2txyz, st^2xyz)R.$$

Let e be a nonnegative integer, and $q = p^e$. Then

$$\omega_R^{(1-q)} = \frac{1}{(st)^{q-1}} A \# \frac{1}{(xyz)^{q-1}} B$$

is the R module spanned by the elements

$$\frac{1}{(st)^{q-1} x^k y^l z^m}$$

with $k + l + m = 2q - 2$ and $k, l, m \leq q - 1$.

View E as M/N where $M = R_{s^2txyz}$, and N is the R -submodule spanned by the elements $s^i t^j x^k y^l z^m$ in M that have at least one positive exponent. Then $\mathcal{F}^e(E)$ is the left \widehat{R} -module generated by

$$\frac{1}{(st)^{q-1} x^k y^l z^m} F^e,$$

where F is the p th power map, $k + l + m = 2q - 2$, and $k, l, m \leq q - 1$. Using this description, it is an elementary—though somewhat tedious—verification that $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is not finitely generated over $\mathcal{F}^0(E)$; alternatively, note that the symbolic powers of the height one prime ideals $(sx, sy, sz)\widehat{R}$ and $(sx, tx)\widehat{R}$ agree with the ordinary powers by [BV, corollary 7·10]. Thus, the anticanonical cover of \widehat{R} is the ring \mathcal{R} with

$$\mathcal{R}_n = \frac{1}{(s^2txyz)^n} (sx, sy, sz)^n \widehat{R}$$

and so

$$\mathcal{T}_e = \frac{1}{(s^2txyz)^{q-1}} (sx, sy, sz)^{q-1} \widehat{R}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{e_1} * \mathcal{T}_{e_2} &= \frac{1}{(s^2txyz)^{q_1-1}}(sx, sy, sz)^{q_1-1} * \frac{1}{(s^2txyz)^{q_2-1}}(sx, sy, sz)^{q_2-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(s^2txyz)^{q_1q_2-1}}(sx, sy, sz)^{q_1-1} \cdot ((sx, sy, sz)^{q_2-1})^{[q_1]} \\ &= \frac{1}{(s^2txyz)^{q_1q_2-1}}(sx, sy, sz)^{q_1-1} \cdot ((sx)^{q_1}, (sy)^{q_1}, (sz)^{q_1})^{q_2-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $q_i = p^{e_i}$. We claim that

$$\mathcal{T}_e \neq \sum_{e_1=1}^{e-1} \mathcal{T}_{e_1} * \mathcal{T}_{e-e_1}.$$

For this, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{1}{(s^2txyz)^{q-1}}sx(sy)^{q/p-1}(sz)^{q-q/p-1}$$

does not belong to $\mathcal{T}_{e_1} * \mathcal{T}_{e_2}$ for integers $e_i < e$ with $e_1 + e_2 = e$. By the description of $\mathcal{T}_{e_1} * \mathcal{T}_{e_2}$ above, this is tantamount to proving that

$$sx(sy)^{q/p-1}(sz)^{q-q/p-1} \notin (sx, sy, sz)^{q_1-1} \cdot ((sx)^{q_1}, (sy)^{q_1}, (sz)^{q_1})^{q_2-1},$$

but this is essentially Example 2.2.3.

Fedder's computation. Let A be the power series ring $\mathbb{F}[[u, v, w, x, y, z]]$ for \mathbb{F} a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and let I be the ideal generated by the size 2 minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} u & v & w \\ x & y & z \end{pmatrix},$$

In [Fe, proposition 4.7], Fedder shows that

$$I^{[p]} : I = I^{2p-2} + I^{[p]}.$$

We extend this next by calculating the ideals $I^{[q]} : I$ for each prime power $q = p^e$.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let A be the power series ring $\mathbb{F}[[u, v, w, x, y, z]]$ where K a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let I be the ideal of A generated by $\Delta_1 = vz - wy$, $\Delta_2 = wx - uz$, and $\Delta_3 = uy - vx$.*

(1) *For $q = p^e$ and nonnegative integers s, t with $s + t \leq q - 1$, one has*

$$y^s z^t (\Delta_2 \Delta_3)^{q-1} \in I^{[q]} + x^{s+t} A.$$

(2) *For q, s, t as above, let $f_{s,t}$ be an element of A with*

$$y^s z^t (\Delta_2 \Delta_3)^{q-1} \equiv x^{s+t} f_{s,t} \pmod{I^{[q]}}.$$

Then $f_{s,t}$ is well-defined modulo $I^{[q]}$. Moreover, $f_{s,t} \in I^{[q]} :_A I$, and

$$I^{[q]} :_A I = I^{[q]} + (f_{s,t} \mid s + t \leq q - 1)A.$$

For $q = p$, the above recovers Fedder's computation that $I^{[p]} : I = I^{2p-2} + I^{[p]}$, though for $q > p$, the ideal $I^{[p]} : I$ is strictly bigger than $I^{2p-2} + I^{[p]}$.

Proof. (1) Note that the element

$$y^s z^t (\Delta_2 \Delta_3)^{q-1} = y^s z^t (wx - uz)^{q-1} (uy - vx)^{q-1}$$

belongs to the ideals

$$(x, u)^{2q-2} \subseteq (x^{q-1}, u^q) \subseteq (x^{s+t}, u^q)$$

and also to

$$y^s z^t (x, z)^{q-1} (x, y)^{q-1} \subseteq y^s z^t (x^t, z^{q-t}) (x^s, y^{q-s}) \subseteq (x^{s+t}, z^q, y^q).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} y^s z^t (\Delta_2 \Delta_3)^{q-1} &\in (x^{s+t}, u^q)A \cap (x^{s+t}, z^q, y^q)A \\ &= (x^{s+t}, u^q z^q, u^q y^q)A \\ &\subseteq (x^{s+t}, \Delta_1^q, \Delta_2^q, \Delta_3^q)A. \end{aligned}$$

(2) The ideals I and $I^{[q]}$ have the same associated primes, [**ILL**⁺, corollary 21.11]. As I is prime, it is the only prime associated to $I^{[q]}$. Hence x^{s+t} is a nonzerodivisor modulo $I^{[q]}$, and it follows that $f_{s,t} \bmod I^{[q]}$ is well-defined.

We next claim that

$$I^{2q-1} \subseteq I^{[q]}.$$

By the earlier observation on associated primes, it suffices to verify this in the local ring R_I . But R_I is a regular local ring of dimension 2, so IR_I is generated by two elements, and the claim follows from the pigeonhole principle. The claim implies that

$$x^{s+t} f_{s,t} I \in I^{[q]},$$

and using, again, that x^{s+t} is a nonzerodivisor modulo $I^{[q]}$, we see that $f_{s,t} I \subseteq I^{[q]}$, in other words, that $f_{s,t} \in I^{[q]} :_A I$ as desired.

By Theorem 3.3 and Remark 3.4, one has the R -module isomorphisms

$$\omega_R^{(1-q)} \cong \mathcal{F}^e(E) \cong \frac{I^{[q]} :_A I}{I^{[q]}}.$$

Choosing $\omega_R^{(-1)} = (x, y, z)R$, we claim that the map

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y, z)^{q-1} R &\longrightarrow \frac{I^{[q]} :_A I}{I^{[q]}} \\ x^{q-1-s-t} y^s z^t &\mapsto f_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. Since the modules in question are reflexive R -modules of rank one, it suffices to verify that the map is an isomorphism in codimension 1. Upon inverting x , the above map induces

$$\begin{aligned} R_x &\longrightarrow \frac{I^{[q]} A_x :_{A_x} I A_x}{I^{[q]} A_x} \\ x^{q-1} &\mapsto (\Delta_2 \Delta_3)^{q-1} \end{aligned}$$

which is readily seen to be an isomorphism since $IA_x = (\Delta_2, \Delta_3)A_x$.

6. Cartier algebras and gauge boundedness

For a ring R of prime characteristic $p > 0$, one can interpret $\mathcal{F}^e(E)$ in a dual way as a collection of p^{-e} -linear operators on R . This point of view was studied by Blickle [B12] and Schwede [Sc].

Definition 6.1. Let R be a ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$. For each $e \geq 0$, set \mathcal{C}_e^R to be set of additive maps $\varphi: R \rightarrow R$ satisfying

$$\varphi(r^{p^e} x) = r\varphi(x), \quad \text{for } r, x \in R.$$

The *total Cartier algebra* is the direct sum

$$\mathcal{C}^R = \bigoplus_{e \geq 0} \mathcal{C}_e^R.$$

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_e^R$ and $\varphi' \in \mathcal{C}_{e'}^R$, the compositions $\varphi \circ \varphi'$ and $\varphi' \circ \varphi$ are elements of $\mathcal{C}_{e+e'}^R$. This gives \mathcal{C}^R the structure of an \mathbb{N} -graded ring; it is typically not a commutative ring. As pointed out in [ABZ, 2.2.1], if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is an F -finite complete local ring, then the ring of Frobenius operators $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{C}^R .

Each \mathcal{C}_e^R has a left and a right R -module structure: for $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_e^R$ and $r \in R$, we define $r \cdot \varphi$ to be the map $x \mapsto r\varphi(x)$, and $\varphi \cdot r$ to be the map $x \mapsto \varphi(rx)$.

Definition 6.2. Blickle [B12] introduced a notion of boundedness for Cartier algebras: Let $R = A/I$ for a polynomial ring $A = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ over an F -finite field \mathbb{F} . Set R_n to be the finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector subspace of R spanned by the images of the monomials

$$x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_d^{\lambda_d}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \lambda_j \leq n.$$

Following [An] and [B12], we define a map $\delta: R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $\delta(r) = n$ if $r \in R_n \setminus R_{n-1}$; the map δ is a *gauge*. If $I = 0$, then $\delta(r) \leq \deg(r)$ for each $r \in R$. We recall some properties from [An, proposition 1] and [B12, lemma 4.2]:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(r + r') &\leq \max\{\delta(r), \delta(r')\}, \\ \delta(r \cdot r') &\leq \delta(r) + \delta(r'). \end{aligned}$$

The ring \mathcal{C}^R is *gauge bounded* if there exists a constant K , and elements $\varphi_{e,i}$ in \mathcal{C}_e^R for each $e \geq 1$ generating \mathcal{C}_e^R as a left R -module, such that

$$\delta(\varphi_{e,i}(x)) \leq \frac{\delta(x)}{p^e} + K, \quad \text{for each } e \text{ and } i.$$

Remark 6.3. We record two key facts that will be used in our proof of Theorem 6.4:

- (1) If there exists a constant C such that $I^{[p^e]} :_A I$ is generated by elements of degree at most Cp^e for each $e \geq 1$, then \mathcal{C}^R is gauge bounded; this is [KZ, lemma 2.2].
- (2) If \mathcal{C}^R is gauge bounded, then for each ideal \mathfrak{a} of R , the F -jumping numbers of $\tau(R, \mathfrak{a}')$ are a subset of the real numbers with no limit points; in particular, they form a discrete set. This is [B12, theorem 4.18].

We now prove the main result of the section:

THEOREM 6.4. *Let R be a normal \mathbb{N} -graded that is finitely generated over an F -finite field R_0 . (The ring R need not be standard graded.)*

Suppose that the anticanonical cover of R is finitely generated as an R -algebra. Then \mathcal{C}^R is gauge bounded. Hence, for each ideal \mathfrak{a} of R , the set of F -jumping numbers of $\tau(R, \mathfrak{a}')$ is a subset of the real numbers with no limit points.

Proof. Let A be a polynomial ring, with a possibly non-standard \mathbb{N} -grading, such that $R = A/I$. It suffices to obtain a constant C such that the ideals $I^{[p^e]} :_A I$ are generated by elements of degree at most Cp^e for each $e \geq 1$.

There exists a ring isomorphism $\bigoplus_{e \geq 0} \omega^{(1-p^e)} \cong \bigoplus_{e \geq 0} (I^{[p^e]} :_A I) / I^{[p^e]}$ by Remark 3.4 that respects the e th graded components. After replacing ω by an isomorphic R -module with a possible graded shift, we may assume that the isomorphism above induces degree preserving R -module isomorphisms $\omega^{(1-p^e)} \cong (I^{[p^e]} :_A I) / I^{[p^e]}$ for each $e \geq 0$. While ω is no longer canonically graded, we still have the finite generation of the anticanonical cover $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \omega^{(-n)}$. It suffices to check that there exists a constant C such that $\omega^{(1-p^e)}$ is generated, as an R -module, by elements of degree at most Cp^e .

Choose finitely many homogeneous R -algebra generators z_1, \dots, z_k for $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \omega^{(-n)}$, say with $z_i \in \omega^{(-j_i)}$. Set C to be the maximum of $\deg z_1, \dots, \deg z_k$. Then the monomials

$$z^\lambda = z_1^{\lambda_1} z_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots z_k^{\lambda_k}, \quad \text{with } \sum \lambda_i j_i = p^e - 1$$

generate the R -module $\omega^{(1-p^e)}$, and it is readily seen that

$$\deg z^\lambda = \sum \lambda_i \deg z_i \leq C \sum \lambda_i \leq C(p^e - 1).$$

By [KZ, lemma 2.2], it follows that \mathcal{C}^R is gauge bounded; the assertion now follows from [B12, theorem 4.18].

COROLLARY 6.5. *Let R be the determinantal ring $\mathbb{F}[X]/I$, where X is a matrix of indeterminates over an F -finite field \mathbb{F} of prime characteristic, and I is the ideal generated by the minors of X of an arbitrary but fixed size. Then, for each ideal \mathfrak{a} of R , the set of F -jumping numbers of $\tau(R, \mathfrak{a}')$ is a subset of the real numbers with no limit points.*

Proof. Since R is a determinantal ring, the symbolic powers of the ideal $\omega^{(-1)}$ agree with the ordinary powers by [BV, corollary 7.10]. Hence the anticanonical cover of R is finitely generated, and the result follows from Theorem 6.4.

Remark 6.6. It would be natural to remove the hypothesis that R is graded in Theorem 6.4. However, we do not know how to do this: when R is not graded, it is unclear if one can choose gauges that are compatible with the ring isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{e \geq 0} \omega^{(1-p^e)} \cong \bigoplus_{e \geq 0} (I^{[p^e]} :_A I) / I^{[p^e]}.$$

7. Linear growth of Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity for rings of finite Frobenius representation type

Let A be a standard graded polynomial ring over a field \mathbb{F} , with homogeneous maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . We recall the definition of the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of a graded module following [Ei, chapter 4]:

Definition 7.1. Let $M = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Q}} M_d$ be a graded A -module. If M is Artinian, we set

$$\text{reg } M = \max\{d \mid M_d \neq 0\};$$

for an arbitrary graded module we define

$$\text{reg } M = \max_{k \geq 0} \{\text{reg } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^k(M) + k\}.$$

Definition 7.2. Let I and J be homogeneous ideals of A . We say that the regularity of $A/(I + J^{[p^e]})$ has *linear growth* with respect to p^e , if there is a constant C , such that

$$\text{reg } A/(I + J^{[p^e]}) \leq Cp^e, \quad \text{for each } e \geq 0.$$

It follows from [KZ, corollary 2.4] that if $\text{reg } A/(I + J^{[p^e]})$ has linear growth for each homogeneous ideal J , then $\mathcal{C}^{A/I}$ is gauge-bounded.

Remark 7.3. Let $R = A/I$ for a homogeneous ideal I . We define a grading on the bimodule $R^{(e)}$ introduced in Remark 1.3: when an element r of R is viewed as an element of $R^{(e)}$, we denote it by $r^{(e)}$. For a homogeneous element $r \in R$, we set

$$\text{deg}' r^{(e)} = \frac{1}{p^e} \text{deg } r.$$

For each ideal J of R , one has an isomorphism

$$R^{(e)} \otimes_R R/J \xrightarrow{\cong} R/J^{[p^e]}$$

under which $r^{(e)} \otimes \bar{s} \mapsto \overline{rs^{p^e}}$. To make this isomorphism degree-preserving for a homogeneous ideal J , we define a grading on $R/J^{[p^e]}$ as follows:

$$\text{deg}' \bar{r} = \frac{1}{p^e} \text{deg } \bar{r}, \quad \text{for a homogeneous element } r \text{ of } R.$$

The notion of finite Frobenius representation type was introduced by Smith and Van den Bergh [SV]; we recall the definition in the graded context:

Definition 7.4. Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded Noetherian ring of prime characteristic p . Then R has *finite graded Frobenius-representation type* by finitely generated \mathbb{Q} -graded R -modules M_1, \dots, M_s , if for every $e \in \mathbb{N}$, the \mathbb{Q} -graded R -module $R^{(e)}$ is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of the modules M_i with possible graded shifts, i.e., if there exist rational numbers $\alpha_{ij}^{(e)}$, such that there exists a \mathbb{Q} -graded isomorphism

$$R^{(e)} \cong \bigoplus_{i,j} M_i(\alpha_{ij}^{(e)}).$$

Remark 7.5. Suppose R has finite graded Frobenius-representation type. With the notation as above, there exists a constant C such that

$$\alpha_{ij}^{(e)} \leq C, \quad \text{for all } e, i, j;$$

see the proof of [TT, theorem 2.9].

We now prove the main result of this section; compare with [TT, theorem 4.8].

THEOREM 7.6. *Let A be a standard graded polynomial ring over an F -finite field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let I be a homogeneous ideal of A .*

Suppose $R = A/I$ has finite graded F -representation type. Then $\text{reg } A/(I + J^{[p^e]})$ has linear growth for each homogeneous ideal J . In particular, \mathcal{C}^R is gauge bounded, and for each ideal \mathfrak{a} of R , the set of F -jumping numbers of $\tau(R, \mathfrak{a}')$ is a subset of the real numbers with no limit points.

Proof. We use J for the ideal of A , and also for its image in R . Let $a'(H_m^k(R/J^{[p^e]}))$ denote the largest degree of a nonzero element of $H_m^k(R/J^{[p^e]})$ under the deg' -grading, i.e.,

$$a'(H_m^k(R/J^{[p^e]})) = \frac{1}{p^e} \text{reg } H_m^k(R/J^{[p^e]}).$$

Since we have degree-preserving isomorphisms $R^{(e)} \otimes_R R/J \cong R/J^{[p^e]}$, and

$$R^{(e)} \cong \bigoplus_{i,j} M_i(\alpha_{ij}^{(e)}),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H_m^k(R/J^{[p^e]}) &\cong H_m^k(R^{(e)} \otimes_R R/J) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{i,j} H_m^k(M_i(\alpha_{ij}^{(e)}) \otimes_R R/J) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{i,j} H_m^k(M_i/JM_i)(\alpha_{ij}^{(e)}). \end{aligned}$$

The numbers $\alpha_{ij}^{(e)}$ are bounded by Remark 7.5; thus,

$$a'(H_m^k(R/J^{[p^e]})) \leq \max_i \{a'(H_m^k(M_i/JM_i)) + C\}.$$

Since there are only finitely many modules M_i and finitely many homological indices k , it follows that $a'(H_m^k(R/J^{[p^e]})) \leq C'$, where C' is a constant independent of e and k . Hence

$$\text{reg } H_m^k(R/J^{[p^e]}) \leq C' p^e, \quad \text{for all } e, k,$$

and so

$$\text{reg } A/(I + J^{[p^e]}) = \max_k \{ \text{reg } H_m^k(R/J^{[p^e]}) + k \} \leq C' p^e + \dim A.$$

This proves that $\text{reg } A/J^{[p^e]}$ has linear growth; [KZ, corollary 2.4] implies that C^R is gauge bounded, and the discreteness assertion follows from [B12, theorem 4.18].

REFERENCES

- [ABZ] J. ÀLVAREZ MONTANER, A. F. BOIX and S. ZARZUELA. Frobenius and Cartier algebras of Stanley–Reisner rings. *J. Algebra*. **358** (2012), 162–177.
- [An] G. W. ANDERSON. An elementary approach to L -functions mod p . *J. Number Theory*. **80** (2000), 291–303.
- [B11] M. BLICKLE. The intersection homology D -module in finite characteristic. Ph.D. thesis. University of Michigan (2001), arXiv:math/0110244 [math.AG].
- [B12] M. BLICKLE. Test ideals via algebras of p^{-e} -linear maps. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **22** (2013), 49–83.
- [BV] W. BRUNS and U. VETTER. Determinantal rings. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1327** (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988).
- [Ei] D. EISENBUD. *The geometry of syzygies*. Graduate Texts in Math. **229** (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005).
- [Fe] R. FEDDER. F -purity and rational singularity. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **278** (1983), 461–480.
- [GW] S. GOTO and K.-I. WATANABE. On graded rings I. *J. Math. Soc. Japan.* **30** (1978), 179–213.
- [ILL⁺] S. B. IYENGAR, G. J. LEUSCHKE, A. LEYKIN, C. MILLER, E. MILLER, A. K. SINGH and U. WALTHER. *Twenty-four hours of local cohomology*. Grad. Stud. Math. **87** (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007).
- [Ka] M. KATZMAN. A non-finitely generated algebra of Frobenius maps. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **138** (2010), 2381–2383.
- [KZ] M. KATZMAN and W. ZHANG. Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity and the discreteness of F -jumping coefficients in graded rings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear, arXiv:1110.2093 [math.AC].
- [LS] G. LYUBEZNIK and K. E. SMITH. On the commutation of the test ideal with localization and completion. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), 3149–3180.

- [Sc] K. SCHWEDE. Test ideals in non- \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein rings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** (2011), 5925–5941.
- [SV] K. E. SMITH and M. VAN DEN BERGH. Simplicity of rings of differential operators in prime characteristic. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3). **75** (1997), 32–62.
- [TT] S. TAKAGI and R. TAKAHASHI. D -modules over rings with finite F -representation type. *Math. Res. Lett.* **15** (2008), 563–581.